

# The Grothendieck conjecture for affine curves

Oberseminar Arithmetische Homotopietheorie

Tim Holzschuh ([tholzschuh@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de](mailto:tholzschuh@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de))

---

## Introduction

Grothendieck's "anabelian geometry", as first laid out in his letter [Gro83] to Faltings, is concerned with the question to which extent arithmetic-geometric properties of a variety  $X$  over a field  $k$  are determined by the exact sequence of profinite groups

$$1 \rightarrow \bar{\pi} \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1,$$

where  $\pi = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, \bar{x})$  and  $\bar{\pi} = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\bar{X}, \bar{x})$  denote the étale fundamental group of  $X$  and the base change  $\bar{X} = X \otimes_k \bar{k}$ , respectively, and where  $G = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$  denotes the absolute Galois group of  $k$ .

Among other things, he conjectured the following:

**Conjecture.** Let  $X$  be a hyperbolic curve over a field  $k$  that is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Then the isomorphism class of  $\pi \rightarrow G$  uniquely determines the isomorphism class of  $X/k$ .

In his doctoral thesis [Tam97], Tamagawa managed to prove an even stronger form of the above conjecture for *affine* hyperbolic curves:

**Theorem** (Tamagawa '97, [Tam97, Theorem 0.3]). Let  $k$  be a field finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $X$  and  $X'$  be affine hyperbolic curves over  $k$  with étale fundamental groups  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  respectively. Then the canonical map of sets

$$\text{Isom}_k(X, X') \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Isom}_G^{\text{out}}(\pi, \pi'),$$

where  $\text{Isom}_G^{\text{out}}(\pi, \pi')$  denotes the set of *outer*  $G$ -isomorphisms, is bijective.

Astonishingly, Tamagawa proves his theorem by first proving a finite field version [Tam97, Theorem (0.5)] (something that Grothendieck didn't even conjecture to be true!) and then reducing the statement over fields finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Q}$  to this case.

The goal of this seminar is to work through Tamagawa's thesis [Tam97] and the above two theorems [Tam97, Theorem (0.5)] and [Tam97, Theorem (0.3)] in detail.

## Literature

The main source is Tamagawa's original paper [Tam97] but it might be handy to have [BLR00, §12, §13] close by. For some of the topics, there are some further helpful references. These are listed in the relevant paragraph.

## Time and Place

- We'll meet in person every two weeks on *Wednesday* in either Heidelberg (SR *tba*) or Frankfurt (Hörsaal 16).
- After the session at 08.06., there will be a one-time shift of a week, which means the next session will be at 29.06..
- Usually, a session will consist of two talks:
  - Heidelberg  
The first talk will be from 11 : 30 - 13 : 00 and the second one from 14 : 15 - 15 : 45.
  - Frankfurt  
The first talk will be from 12 : 15 - 13 : 45 and the second one from 14 : 30 - 16 : 00.Ideally, each talk is prepared with about 10-15 *minutes for discussion in mind*.
- Talks 7–9 will be held in one longer session, details *tba*.
- After the last talk, we'll hike along the philosophers' path and afterwards have dinner together at *tba*.

## Schedule

### Overview

(Online, 20.04.)

0. Provide an overview of the seminar and distribute the topics.

### Generalities on the fundamental groups of curves

(Frankfurt, 27.04.)

[BLR00, §12, §13], [AM69, §3]

1. Introduce the setup of [Tam97, §1] (up to [Tam97, (1.1)]). Define the notion of a “full” class  $\mathcal{C}$  of finite groups and define the maximal pro- $\mathcal{C}$  quotient  $G^{\mathcal{C}}$  of a profinite group  $G$ . Explain [Tam97, (1.1)] and its corollaries [Tam97, (1.2), (1.4)].

2. Discuss torsion-, and centrefreeness of fundamental groups of curves:

Prove [Tam97, (1.5)] and deduce that the pro- $\mathcal{C}$  quotient  $\pi^{\mathcal{C}}$  of the geometric fundamental group  $\pi$  of a curve is torsionfree [Tam97, (1.6)]. Prove that  $\pi^{\mathcal{C}}$  is centrefree [Tam97, (1.11)] (a more accessible proof can be found in [Col98, Lemma 1]).

## Characterization of Decomposition Groups

(Heidelberg, 11.05.)

[Col98, Theorem 3], [Sti13, §4], [Sti13, Proposition 54]

3. Define (geometric) (quasi-)sections [Tam97, (2.3)] and the property “A” of a field  $k$  [Tam97, p. 150]. Explain [Tam97, (2.6)] (see [Sti12, Lemma 7] for a more elaborate version) and use it to prove the first item of the main result [Tam97, (2.8)] regarding the characterization of decomposition groups.

4. Prove the second item of [Tam97, (2.8)]. Define the properties “B” and “C” of a field  $k$  [Tam97, p. 150]. Discuss and, time permitting, prove the remaining items of [Tam97, (2.8)] (also see [Col98, Theorem 3]). Focus on accessibility rather than completeness.

## Characterization of various invariants

(Frankfurt, 25.05.)

[BLR00, §12.3]

5. Explain how to group-theoretically characterize the characteristic  $p \geq 0$  of the ground field [Tam97, (3.1)] as well as the geometric fundamental group  $\bar{\pi}$  over finite fields [Tam97, (3.3)]. Prove the third item of [Tam97, (3.4)]. State and, time permitting, sketch how to characterize the geometric fundamental group  $\bar{\pi}$  over fields finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Q}$  [Tam97, (3.2)].

6. Explain how to group-theoretically recover the cardinality  $q = \#k$  of the ground field and the Frobenius element  $\varphi_k$  (for  $k$  finite) (the first two items of [Tam97, (3.4)]). Prove how to recover the genus  $g$  of the curve as well as the number  $n$  of points of the boundary [Tam97, (3.5)]. State and, time permitting, prove how to recover the number of rational points [Tam97, (3.8)] of the curve as well as the kernel “ $I(\pi)$ ” [Tam97, (3.7)].

## The Grothendieck conjecture for curves over finite fields

(Heidelberg, 08.06.)

The goal of this section is to prove the tame case of [Tam97, (4.3)].

7. Introduce the setup considered in [Tam97, §4] and elucidate the general strategy of the proof of (the tame case of) [Tam97, (4.3)]. Prove [Tam97, (4.1)]. Quickly introduce class field theory of function fields and explain how, together with the characterizations of the preceding talks, it can be applied to obtain a multiplicative isomorphism  $\varphi^\times: K_1'^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} K_2'^\times$ , where  $K_i'$  denotes the maximal pro- $\mathcal{C}$  Galois extension of the composite  $K_i \cdot k_i^{\text{sep}}$  of the function field of the curve and the separable closure of the ground field under consideration, respectively ([Tam97, (4.3)] up to, including, [Tam97, (4.5)]).

8. Assuming the critical claim [Tam97, (4.6)] that the isomorphism  $\varphi^\times$  is additive, finish the proof of [Tam97, (4.3)]. State [Tam97, (4.7)] and explain why it is applicable in the situation at hand. Prove [Tam97, (4.16)] and mention that we’ll eventually reduce [Tam97, (4.7)] to it.

9. Finish the proof of the main result [Tam97, (4.3)] over finite fields by proving [Tam97, (4.7)]:

Define *minimal elements* in function fields [Tam97, (4.8)], state and, time permitting, sketch [Tam97, (4.11)] and use it to prove that “ $(a)(b)(c) \implies (a)(b)(c')$ ” [Tam97, p. 171]. Prove [Tam97, (4.13), (4.15)] and explain how this reduces the missing claim “ $(a)(b)(c') \implies$  additivity of  $\varphi^\times$ ” [Tam97, p. 172] to the already proven [Tam97, (4.16)].

**“Anabelian” criterion for good reduction**

(Frankfurt, 29.06.(!))

10. Introduce the setup of [Tam97, §5]. Define the notion of having *good reduction* for tuples  $(X, D)$  consisting of a curve  $X$  and an effective étale divisor  $D$  at a point  $s$  of the base scheme  $S$  [Tam97, (5.1)]. Prove Tamagawa’s “anabelian” criterion [Tam97, (5.3)] for detecting whether such a tuple  $(X, D)$  has good reduction in terms of  $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X \setminus D)$ .

11. Prove the key lemma [Tam97, (5.5)] and use it and Tamagawa’s anabelian criterion for good reduction to group-theoretically recover the fundamental group of the special fibre of a curve over a DVR from the fundamental group of the curve itself [Tam97, (5.7)].

**The Grothendieck conjecture for curves over fields finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Q}$**

(Heidelberg, 13.07.)

12. Reduce the main result in characteristic 0 [Tam97, (6.3)] to [Tam97, (6.6)].

13. Complete the proof of [Tam97, (6.3)] by proving the remaining claim [Tam97, (6.6)].

14. Hike along the philosophers’ path with dinner at *tba*.

## References

- [AM69] Michael Artin and Berry Mazur. *Étale Homotopy Theory*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1969.
- [BLR00] J. B. Bost, François Loeser, and Michel Max Raynaud. *Courbes semi-stables et groupe fondamental en géométrie algébrique : Luminy, décembre 1998*. 2000.
- [Col98] Collectif. “Curves and their fundamental groups”. en. In: *Séminaire Bourbaki : volume 1997/98, exposés 835-849*. Astérisque 252. talk:840. Société mathématique de France, 1998. URL: [http://www.numdam.org/item/SB\\_1997-1998\\_\\_40\\_\\_131\\_0/](http://www.numdam.org/item/SB_1997-1998__40__131_0/).
- [Gro83] Alexander Grothendieck. *Brief an Faltings*. 1983. URL: <https://webusers.imj-prg.fr/~leila.schneps/grothendieckcircle/Letters/GanF.pdf>.
- [Sti12] Jakob Stix. *Birational  $p$ -adic Galois Sections in Higher Dimensions*. 2012. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1202.2781>.
- [Sti13] Jakob Stix. *Rational Points and Arithmetic of Fundamental Groups*. 2013. URL: <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-3-642-30674-7>.
- [Tam97] Akio Tamagawa. “The Grothendieck conjecture for affine curves”. In: *Compositio Mathematica* 109.2 (1997), pp. 135–194. DOI: [10.1023/A:1000114400142](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1000114400142).